

| | | | Quezon City Green Awards 2024 | | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|---|--|--------------------------|-------|-------------------|
| Public | | | School Category, Levels, and Classification: | Elementary (Kinder to Gr | ade 6) | | |
| Private | | | | | High School or Combinati | ion) | |
| Name of School: | | | | Legislative District: | | | |
| School ID: | | | | Province: | | | |
| Division: Region: | | | | School District: Date Visited/Inspected | : | | |
| School Address: | CRITERIA | Means of Verification | Guidance for Points | GENERAL SCORE: | Points | Score | Comments/Recommen |
| | | realis of refinedation | distance for Folias | | | Store | dation s |
| 1 | ENABLING ENVIRONMENT Adopted/Adapted/localized at least 3 existing policies relating | Screenshot or scanned | 3 or more policies | | 24 3 | | |
| | to Disaster Risk Reduction and Management (DRRM) Climate | copy of: | adapted/localized = 3 | | | | |
| | Change Adaptation and Mitigation (CCAM) and Peacebuilding in education | a. School Memo organizing SDRRM | 2 policies adapted/localized = 2 | | | | |
| | | Team b. Informatio n and | 1 policy adapted/localized = 1 No adapted/localized | | | | |
| | | Communication Protocol | policy (irrelevance policy) | | | | |
| | | c. Quarterly Drills d. Others" | = 0 | | | | |
| 2 | Formed and functional School DRRM Team with the participation of the Supreme Student Government (SSG)/ | Screenshot or scanned copy of: | Presence of all components in the criteria = 2 Absence of even 1 component in the criteria | | 2 | | |
| | Supreme Pupil Government (SPG) in the planning process, | School Memo | = 1 | | | | |
| | with school DRRM Coordinator and consisting of personnel from different school stakeholders with defined membership | creating the organization | No formed School DRRM Team = 0 | | | | |
| | and roles and responsibilities/functions | b. SDRRM Team with duties and | | | | | |
| | | responsibilities | | | | | |
| | | c. SDRRM Organizational Structure | | | | | |
| 3 | Has a Comprehensive School DRRM Plan. This includes DRRM, | a. Approved Comprehensive School | Presence of all component in the criteria | | 1 | | |
| | CCAM, and Peacebuilding measures covering risk assessment, risk reduction including rehabilitation and recovery | DRRM Plan | Absence of even 1 component in the criteria | | | | |
| 4 | School budget supports regular DRRM activities | a. School | = 0 The presence of budget allocation for DRRM activities identified in the DRRM | | 2 | | |
| | bellow budget supports regular british activities | Improvement Plan | The presence of budget allocation for DRRM activities identified any of the DRRM Plan and Annual Implementation Plan = 2 The presence of budget allocation for DRRM activities identified any of the DRRM Plan and Annual Implementation Plan = 1 | | _ | | |
| | | b. Annual Implementation Plan | | | | | |
| | | c. School DRRM Plan | The absence of budget allocation for DRRM activitie Plan and Annual | s identified in the DRRM | | | |
| | | | Implementation Plan = 0 | | | | |
| 5 | Conducted student-led school watching and hazard mapping | a. DepEd checklist | klist Students involved both school watching and hazard mapping and the DRRM | | 2 | | |
| | (DO 23 s 2015), and involved students in DRRM planning | of student-led school watching and hazard | Planning = 2 Students involvement in either school watching and | hazard mapping and the | | | |
| | | mapping | DRRM Planning = 1 | | | | |
| | | Document ation of findings | | | | | |
| | | c. Photo documentation | | | | | |
| | | in the conduct of school | | | | | |
| | | watching and hazard mapping | Absence of student participation in the school watch | ning and hazard mapping | | | |
| | | | and the DRRM Planning = 0 | | | | |
| 6 | Incorporated results of student- led school watching and | a. Approved Annual | Clear understanding in the inclusion of scho | | 4 | | |
| | hazard mapping in the School DRRM Plan and Annual Implementation Plan (AIP) | Implementation Plan b. Approved School | hazard mapping in both the approved Implementation Plan and the School DRRM Plan | Annual = 4 | | | |
| | impenendon i un (ini) | DRRM Plan | Inclusion of school watching and haza | ard mapping in the | | | |
| | | | approved Annual Implementation Plan = 3 Inclusion of school watching and haza | ard mapping in the | | | |
| | | | approved School DRRM Plan = 2 Inclusion of school watching and haza | ard | | | |
| | | | mapping in both the Annual Implementation | on Plan and the | | | |
| | | | School DRRM Plan without the approval of signatory = 1 | the authorized | | | |
| | | | Absence of school watching and haza Annual Implementation Plan and School DRRM Pla | ard mapping in the | | | |
| | | | - | | | | |
| 7 | Copies of the School Report Card (SRC) is presented and distributed to stakeholders | a. School Report Card b. Photo documentation | Presence of School Report Card and photo documen Absence of any of the School Report Card and photo | tation of distribution = 2 documentation of | 2 | | |
| | | (Presentation and distribution of SRC) | distribution = 1 Absence of the School Report Card and photo docum | antation of | | | |
| | | c. Posted in Social media | distribution = 0 | lentation of | | | |
| | | platform | | | | | |
| 8 | Data collection and consolidation of programs and activities | a. DepEd develop | Presence of collected and consolidated data covering | g the 3 pillars = 2 | 2 | | |
| | on DRRM, covering the 3 Pillars to | monitoring and evaluation tool | | | | | |
| | | for the 3 pillars with | Presence of collected but not consolidated data cover | ering the 3 pillars = 1 | | | |
| | Tool) | progress reports | Absence of collected and consolidated data = 0 | | | | |
| 9 | Rapid Assessment of Damages Report (RADaR) is submitted to Central Office, within 72 hours after the onslaught of a hazard | a. RADaR Screenshot | Submission of RADaR within 72 hours after onslaug through | ht of hazard, either | 3 | | |
| | in the area or a Certificate of no damage/hazard experience | b. Mock RADaR | SMS or other means of communication = 3 | 1 1 51 2 3 | | | |
| | signed by the School Division Office | text if the school did not experience any major | Submission beyond 72 hours after the onslaught of I SMS or other means of communication = 2 | hazard, either through | | | |
| | | hazards c. Certificate of no | Absence of RADaR submission = 0 | | | | |
| | | damage signed by the | | | | | |
| | | Schools Division Superintendent or | | | | | |
| | | his/her authorized representative | | | | | |
| 10 | 100% completed of DRR-related questions in the Education | a. Education | 100% completion DRRM related questions in the EM | AIS and EBEIS = 2 | 2 | | |
| | Management Information System (EMIS) and Enhanced Basic Education Information System (EBEIS) | Management Information (School | 99% to 50% completion DRRM related | | | | |
| | | level) | | | | | |
| | | b. Enhanced Basic Education Information | | | | | |
| | | System (DepEd Databased) | questions in the EMIS and EBEIS = 1 Below 50% completion DRRM related questions in t | the EMIS | | | |
| 11 | The select because his to the selection of the selection | | and EBEIS = 0 | | 1 | | |
| 11 | The school has established partnerships that could be tapped to support its DRRM programs and activities, including those | a. Signed Memorandum of | Presence of approved MOA/MOU Absence of approved MOA/MOU for | for partnerships = 1 | 1 | | |
| | during and after a disaster | Agreement/ Understanding with | partnerships = 0 | | | | |
| | | partners | | | | | |
| | Pillar 1: Safe Learning Facilities | MOV | | | | 33 | |
| 1 | School building/classroom components are in accordance to DepEd and National Building Code approved standard design | a. Engineeri ng report from LGU and/or | Strict compliance to the DepEd and National Buildin Specifications = 31 | g Code, Standards and | | 31 | |
| | and specifications (see criteria and checklist for Pillar 1, No. 1, | Schools Division Office | Refer to SCHOOLS CHECKLIST ANNEX A | | | | |
| | for corresponding points) | b. Certificati on from the Bureau of Fire | | | | | |
| | | Protection that the school building/ | | | | | |
| | | classroom met | | | | | |
| | | the safety requirements | 1 | | I | 1 | 1 |

| 2 | School DRDM Team con directed sinks are | a Contilliano | Processes on nor oritoria = 1 Ab | | |
|----|--|---|---|-------|--|
| | partner agencies and stakeholders. Appropriate action on the findings are included in the Annual Implementation Plan and | a. Certificati on/report regarding the conducted risk assessment of school facilities b. Approved Annual | Presence as per criteria = 1 Absence as per criteria = 0 | 1 | |
| | | Implementation Plan c. Approved School DRRM Plan | | | |
| 3 | If not applicable, the school head has knowledgeable and | a. Presence of MOA, if the school was used as an evacuation center b. If not used as EC, the school head must present a certificate of training/attenda nce/documentat ion report on JMC No. 1 and RA 10821 | Presence and/or Awareness of School Head in the roles and functions in camp management = 1 Absence of Awareness of School Head = 0 | | |
| 1 | Pillar 2: School Disaster Risk Management The school has a hazard-specific Contingency Plan, i.e. Preparedness Plan turned into response actions when a disaster strikes or emergency (at least 3 hazards) | a. DepEd Contingency Plan | Presence of approved contingency plans for more than 3 disasters and/or emergencies = 3 Presence of approved 2 contingency plans for disasters and emergencies = 2 Presence of approved 1 contingency plans for disasters and emergencies = 1 Absence of approved contingency plans for disasters and emergencies = 1 | 30 | |
| 2 | 100% of students and their families have accomplished the Family Preparedness Plan as per DO No. 27, series of 2015 | a. Accomplished Family Preparedness Plan | 100% of students accomplished as per criteria = 3 80% to 99% of students accomplished as per criteria = 2 | 3 | |
| 3 | School has a student-family reunification plan that is clearly disseminated to students, teachers, and parents | a. Accomplis hed Reunification Plan b. Approved Narrative Report | 50% to 79% of students accomplished as per criteria = 1 Below 50% of Students accomplished as per criteria = 0 Presence of student-family reunification plan with Narrative Report = 2 Absence of any of the student-family reunification plan or the Narrative Report = 1 Absence of both the student-family reunification plan and Narrative Report = | 2 | |
| 4 | The school has established a school personnel and learners tracking system/protocol in the event of a disaster and/or emergency | a. School personnel tracking system b. Learners tracking system | Presence of established tracking systems for both school personnel and learners = 2 Absence of established tracking systems for any of the school personnel and learners = 1 | 2 | |
| 5 | Hazard and evacuation maps are located in conspicuous places inside the school campus | a. Hazard map b. Evacuatio | of the sumon personnel and tearners = 1 Absence of established tracking systems for both school personnel and learners = 0 Persence of hazard and evacuation maps inside the school = 1 Absence of hazard and evacuation maps = 0 | 1 | |
| 6 | | n map a. Presence of First Aid kit Basic Content: alcohol, cotton, scissor, medicine for emergencies, bandages, ammonia, gauze/band-aid, plasters, thermometer, triangular bandage, first-aid book, | Presence and accessibility with adequate first aid kit in every instructional classroom = 1 Absence and inaccessibility with inadequate first aid kit even in one instructional classroom = 0 | 1 | |
| 7 | School has at least 3 essential and functional pieces of equipment in case of a disaster and/or emergency | gloves a. Presence of: - fire extinguisher - Fire alarm - handheld /based radio - Siren/bell - localized Emergency Warning System - others | Presence of at least 3 of the essential and functioning equipment as per criteria = 1 Absence and/or defective of any of the essential equipment = 0 | 1 | |
| 8 | School conducted hazard-specific drills (at least 3 hazards) with the participation of different stakeholders (BFP, Medic, LGUs, NGOs, community, PTA, alumni, and others) | - otners a. Post- Activity Reports with photos b. Certificat e of Participation | Three or more regular hazard-specific drills conducted = 3 Two regular hazard- specific drills conducted = 2 One regular hazard- specific drills conducted = 1 No hazard-specific drill conducted = 0 | 3 | |
| 9 | School has established functional early warning system to | | Presence of established functional early warning | 2 | |
| | inform students and personnel of hazards and emergencies (protocol, warning signs, devices, IEC), considering national and LGU warning systems and protocols (to at least 3 hazards) | Warning System | system = 2 Absence of established functional early warning system = 0 | | |
| 10 | School has a ready go-bag in every classroom with proper label on its content | a. Presence of Go- bag in every classroom with the minimum required number of specific content | Presence of go-bag in each classroom = 1 Absence of go-bag even in one classroom = 0 | 1 | |
| 11 | | a. If within the school's vicinity: part of the contingency plan containing the identified open space b. If outside the school: Approved MOA with the LGU | Presence of approved MOU/MOA and/or enough space for putting up TLS = 1 Absence of approved MOU/MOA and/or not enough space for putting up TLS = 0 | 1 | |
| 12 | (strategies, materials, focal persons to implement) | | Presence of approved resumption strategy = 2 Absence of the approved resumption strategy = 0 | 2 | |
| 13 | experience to enable psychosocial interventions. | a. Training Certificate of school personnel and learners from authorized partners b. Photo documentation | Presence of approved school psychological intervention = 1 Absence of approved school psychological intervention = 0 | 1 | |
| 14 | School has trained teaching and non-teaching who could provide psychosocial support to students | a. Training Certificate of teachers and learners b. Photo documentation c. Narrative report | Presence of proof for teachers and other personnel such as Certificate of participation and/or photo documentation = 1 Absence of proof for teachers and other personnel such as Certificate of participation and/or photo documentation = 0 | 1 | |
| 15 | | a. Continge ncy Plan b. School DRRM Plan c. Evacuatio n Map d. Evacuatio n protocol | Presence of approved evacuation plans = 2 Absence of approved evacuation plans = 0 | 2 | |
| 16 | School has conducted awareness and capacity building for | a. Photo Documentation b. Narrative Report c. Attendance sheet | Presence of approved attendance sheet, certificate of participation and/or photo documentation = 2 Absence of proof = 0 Presence of approved attendance sheet certificate of proof = 0 | 2 | |
| 17 | Peacebuilding activities of the LGU | a. Narrative Report b. Certificat e of Attendance and/or Participation | Presence of approved attendance sheet, certificate of participation and/or photo documentation = 1 Absence of proof = 0 | | |
| 18 | School has designated DRRM focal to represent in Local Disaster Risk Reduction and Management Committee LDRRMC in their respective LGUs | a. School DRRM | Presence of approved Office Order or any equivalent document = 1 Absence of proof = 0 | 1 | |
| | Pillar 3: DRRM in Education | | | 13 | |

| 1 | ool has integrated key DRRM, CCAM and a. Lesson Plan Integrated in 4 or more st cebuilding concepts in at ts 4 subject area based on | | ibjects = 5 | | 5 | | | | |
|------------------------|---|---|--|--|-------------|---|-------------|--------------------|--|
| | the Basic Education Curriculum Guide | c. Activity Worksheets | Integrated in 3 subject are Integrated in 2 subject are Integrated in 1 subject are Absence of integration = 0 | eas = 3 ea = 2 | | | | | |
| 2 | 100% of students are actively participating in various DRRM, CCAM and Peacebuilding activities | a. Approved Narrative Report b. Photo Document c. Certificate of Attendance and/or Participation | Peacebuilding activities = | vely participating in various DRRM, CCAM and 1 ively participating in various DRRM, CCAM and | | 1 | | | |
| 3 | School has a DRRM, CCAM and Peacebuilding activities for school personnel | ool has a DRRM, CCAM and Peacebuilding a. Project proposal Presence of approvities for school personnel b. Work plan c. Gantt Chart | | ed capacity building proposal = 1 | | 1 | | | |
| | | | Presence of approve capacity building | ed ng proposal = 0 | | | | | |
| 4 | School Head, teaching and non-teaching personnel have received at least 3 DRRM, CCAM and Peacebuilding trainings from the Department of Education and other partners | a. Photo Documentation b. Activity Report c. Certificate of Attendance and/or Participation | Presence of Certificate of participation and/or documentation of all DRRM, CCAM and Peacebuilding trainings = 3 Presence of Certificate of participation and/or documentation of 2 of any of the DRRM, CCAM and Peacebuilding trainings = 2 Presence of Certificate of participation and/or documentation of 1 any of the DRRM, CCAM and Peacebuilding trainings = 1 Absence of any proof and/or documentation of the DRRM, CCAM and Peacebuilding trainings = 1 trainings = 0 trainings = 0 trainings = 1 trainings = 0 t | | | 3 | | | |
| 5 | Availability of at least more than 10 learning a. Modules Presence momentarials each of the DRRM, CCAM and b. Worksheet Peacebuilding preacebuilding in the school library c. Books Less than 1 | | Presence more than Peacebuilding resourc Less than 10 or absence Peacebuilding resourc | e materials = 1 DRRM, CCAM and | | 1 | | | |
| 6 | with updated IEC materials Board that contains the following: | | materials = 2 | r in every classroom, with updated IEC nevery classroom, with updated IEC materials | | 2 | | | |
| Summary Sheet | | | | | | | | | |
| Criteria for Checking | | | | MAX. | ACT | | | ecommendations and | |
| | | | | SCORE | SCORE Sugge | | Suggestions | uggestions | |
| I. ENABLING ENVIRONM | | | | 23 | | | | | |
| II. PILLAR 1: SAFE LEA | | 33 | | | | | | | |
| | DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT | 30 | | | | | | | |
| IV. PILLAR 3: DRR IN | | 14 | | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL | 100 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Percentage | | | | | | |
| | | × × | | | | | | | |
| Excellent Very Good | | | 91-100% | | | | | | |
| | | | | 81-90% | | | | | |
| | | Good | | 71-80% | | | | | |
| Needs Improvement | | | | | 61-70% | | | | |
| Validated by: | | | | | | | | | |
| Name: | | | | | | | | | |
| | Position: | | | | | | | | |
| | Agency: | | | | | | | | |
| Date: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |